

---

# Environmental Impact of Sectoral Energy Consumption on Economic Growth in Malaysia: Evidence from ARDL Bound Testing Approach

---

Hafezali Iqbal Hussain <sup>1\*</sup>, Milad Abdelnabi Salem <sup>2</sup>, Aimi Zulhazmi Abdul Rashid <sup>3</sup>, Fakarudin Kamarudin <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Taylor's Business School, Taylor's University, MALAYSIA

<sup>2</sup> Community College of Qatar, Business Department, Doha, QATAR

<sup>3</sup> Universiti Kuala Lumpur Business School, Universiti Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia, MALAYSIA

\* Corresponding author: hafezali.iqbalhussain@taylors.edu.my

---

## Abstract

The association of energy utilization with economic progress has always proven to be crucial in modern business. Acknowledging the importance of sectoral energy utilization, the present study explores the environmental impact of industrial, commercial, transportation & agricultural energy consumption on economic progress of Malaysia. Applying the functionality of Cobb-Douglas, the authors utilized the long time series data from the period of 1978 to 2016. The outcomes of the empirical investigations using ARDL bound testing approach ascertain the presence of long-run association between GDP growth, capital, labor along with the sectoral energy utilizations of the country. Furthermore, the study also finds empirical evidences of positive significant associations of all energy consumptions with economic growth. Lastly, the results of Granger-causality also confirm the existence of bi-directional causal association between all sectoral energy consumptions and Malaysian economic progress. This study brings a valid policy recommendation for the Malaysia Government to invest more in all sector of energy for the progress in the Malaysian economic growth.

**Keywords:** environmental impact, economic growth, industrial energy, commercial energy, transportation energy, agricultural energy consumption, Malaysia

Hussain HI, Salem MA, Abdul Rashid AZ, Kamarudin F (2019) Environmental Impact of Sectoral Energy Consumption on Economic Growth in Malaysia: Evidence from ARDL Bound Testing Approach. *Ekoloji* 28(107): 199-210.

---

## INTRODUCTION

The world at present is bursting with rising business opportunities. The mode of businesses has also witnessed to move from the orthodox systems to innovative functioning entangled well in the operations and management, resulting into more efficient contemporary industries. The economies in today's globalized world reliant enormously on the inputs of economic activities, their availability and sustainability for future use. In this regard, the contribution of energy in the current economies is the basis of sustainability debates and forms the vital part in the ecological and economic literature.

Previous literature proved that economic growth, energy consumption, and non-fossil energy are the decisive factors associated with carbon intensity. However, are the impacts of these determinant factors same for different countries despite their individual

heterogeneity? The methods in previous studies are usually based on ordinary least square (OLS) method, which neglects the individual heterogeneity during the analysis process. The overlook of individual heterogeneity will lead to biased regression results, even spurious regression. Therefore, a new approach should be applied. Besides, energy consumption is assumed as an independent variable in previous studies. However, the use of energy consumption may cause an endogeneity problem. Therefore, new variables should be used to denote the energy consumption.

The conventional theory of neo-classical growth approach considers energy only as a transitional factor. The theory contemplates the other elements of land capital and labor as the fundamental aspects of production. Therefore, in this way, the neo-classical approach restricted the vitality of energy in the economic operations. Alternatively, the adherents of

biophysical & ecological perception approaches treated energy as the most critical influencer. They suggested that the role of energy is substantial for the process of income determination. In this regard, environment and energy inputs are considered to be the major influencer of economic development, whereby, changes in energy utilizations underlies the potential to alter economic progress (Abidin et al. 2015a, 2015b, Azam et al. 2016a, 2016b).

The theoretical differences on the contribution of energy is followed by the contentious debate in the literature regarding the role of energy in economic growth. Many studies critically refer the approach of growth model to be eccentric for considering energy as the secondary factor (Beaudreau 1995). The arguments on the share played by energy in the economy is initiated by Kraft and Kraft in 1978. Ever since, the literature strives hard not only to determine the contribution of energy in economy, but also to identify the impact it can bring as a result of changes in the level of energy dependencies. In this regard, the majority of the literature emphasized on the co-integration approach and determination of causal connections by applying Granger causality method. This part of literature included the novel work by Lee (2008), Chontanawat et al., (2007) and Lee (2006). In addition, the emphasis of modern economics relies greatly on energy utilization by declaring it indispensable factor of economic operations and production methods. In order to specifically identify the significance of energy in a country's growth process, the emphasis of studies especially from past two decades relied heavily on empirical assessment utilizing the bi-variate approach. It included the studies of Lise and Montfort (2007), Mehrara (2007), Narayan and Singh (2007); Lee and Chang (2007) and Zachariadis (2007). However, these studies are criticized for omitting crucial variables and also to reach an agreement on the specific association of energy with economic progress (Adepoju and Eyibio 2016, Ekong and Akpan 2014, Haseeb et al. 2018, Oyaromade et al. 2014, Suryanto et al. 2018, Tsang and Yung 2017, Bilir et al. 2018).

Furthermore, Soytaş and Sari (2007) stated that the connection between energy consumption and development varies from country to country, hence, required to be analyzed considering the exclusive economy and its contributing industries. This is due to the fact that economies differ in terms of energy dependence and the sources of energy production and consumption. Hence, a general panel approach is insufficient in determining the effect of energy on the

economic development. Moreover, the diverse sources of energy exert dissimilar influence on the economic activities and thus largely rely upon the methods of energy generation in the country. As we would see it, diverse nations are in totally dissimilar from each another in terms of income levels. This have essentially unique effect on energy and monetary development connection. In this manner, it might be hasty to expect that panel investigation can result in an agreement on the general impact of energy in growth process of a countries with variant income levels, sources of energy and structure of energy dependence.

In addition to above, a strand of literature also pointed out the adverse effects that can be found in energy dependent countries (Apergis and Payne 2010). The adverse impact of the energy on the economy is commonly transferred by the negative impact it creates in the form of emissions as a bi-product, and therefore, hinders environmental condition. This raises not only the problems of social development but also affects economies in long run by hurting the notion of sustainable development. In addition, the limitation of the current literature in assessing energy on aggregate level also created ambiguities regarding the contribution of energy consumption on economic development. The limitation of such aggregate approach lies in ignoring the inclusion of specific effects resulted by numerous levels of energy utilization in variant economic sectors. In addition, the contribution of energy dependence can be efficiently inspected by measuring the impact of disaggregated sectors of energy consumption. The industry specific influence of energy consumption has many fold advantages over the aggregate energy dependence investigations. Initially, it is helpful in determining the industry level dependence of energy in a country. Furthermore, it points out the impact of individual energy consumption of the industries on economic development. This will enable the economies to identify the particular sector of the economy that is positively or negatively related to economic development. The understandings from such inclusive approach will enable the governments and policy makers to make better decisions regarding the energy dependence strategies focusing on the relevant industry (Boyi et al. 2018, Dölek and Günes 2016, Pérez-Luna et al. 2018, Tshupo et al. 2017).

Acknowledging the significance of the disaggregate analysis of energy consumption on economic growth, the present study aims to investigate the impact of industrial, commercial, agricultural and transport energy consumption on the economic development of

**Table 1.** Results of Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Std. Dev.	Jarque-Bera	Correlation
<b>Y</b>	304.061	205.052	408.069	107.052	24.346***	
<b>K</b>	280.238	220.451	420.234	121.052	29.491***	0.925***
<b>L</b>	140.475	90.921	190.213	70.329	17.915***	0.907***
<b>IND</b>	7303.89	2273	16454	4785.29	36.323***	0.782***
<b>COM</b>	2528.99	712	7403	2191.01	82.282***	0.871***
<b>TRA</b>	7493.12	1928	22357	5891.97	32.213***	0.921***
<b>AGR</b>	252.67	201	1074	336.212	71.219***	0.901***

Note: \*\*\* represents the values are significant at 1%.

Source: Authors Estimation

Malaysia. As mentioned above, the majority of the studies examining the association between energy consumption and economic development focus on bi-variate models that are limited to cause omitted biasness and therefore are critically discussed in many studies in terms of causing spurious correlations & reaching erroneous conclusions (Adjaye 2000, Asyraf 2014, Behera 2015, Ekong and Akpan 2014, Glasure 2002, Jabarullah and Othman 2018, Kobayashi et al. 2013, Ozturk and Ozturk 2018, Stern 1997, Stern and Cleveland 2003, Vafaeirad et al. 2015). Considering the limitations of bi-variate approaches, the present study opted to perform the multivariate examination in studying the relationship between the industrial, commercial, agricultural and nuclear energy utilization with output growth. On the other hand, the literature where multivariate approaches in the energy-growth examinations are analyzed, there do not appear to be a theoretical foundation in a large portion of those investigations. However, in response, the present analysis focus on Cobb-Douglas Function in inspecting the relationship of sector level energy consumption with economic development (Danbaba et al. 2016, Edwin et al. 2017, Ekpung 2014, Gideon 2014, Henry 2014, Jabarullah et al. 2017, Mukadasi 2018, Singh and Issac 2018).

The rest of the paper is sorted out as below: Section two depicts the model and econometric procedure utilized in the investigation; Section three talks about the methodological information of the econometrics utilized in the paper; Section four shows the empirical evidences and data interpretation; Section five summed up the contribution, implication and policy recommendations.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Recently, many studies have applied econometric approaches to investigate factors influencing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (related studies are summarized in **Table 1**. **Table 1** in (Beaudreau 1995) also provides the related literature). The two most relevant strands of research are: (1) Examining the impacts of economic growth,

energy consumption on carbon emissions. In this strand, the scholars mainly focused on two independent variables, namely the economic growth and energy consumption. These two variables are widely recognized to have impacts on carbon intensity and carbon emissions. Apart from the two common variables, different scholars would include other variables into their model according to their research objectives—e.g., Zhu et al. aimed to investigate the impacts of foreign direct investment (FDI) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, so Zhu et al. included FDI in their model analyzing the effects of economic growth and non-fossil energy consumption on carbon emissions. This strand originates from strand (1) because many scholars want to discover the impacts of renewable energy on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The main differences among these studies are the samples, the regression methods and the control variables. Many studies analyze the relationship between economic development and energy utilization in past (Jabarullah et al. 2016). However, the review of the literature failed to reach a consensus on the specific association between economic development and energy utilization, leaving the need to revisit the investigation with improved methodology and country specific inspection (Jabarullah et al. 2015). In this context, Aqeel and Butt (2001) analyzed the effects of energy utilization on economic development of Pakistan. The study utilized the data from the period of 1956 to 1996. Taking the aggregate energy utilization, the study aimed to investigate the relationship of energy dependence with economic condition of the Pakistan. The findings of the empirical analysis by applying Hsiao's Granger causality and Cointegration analysis conclude the presence of negative association between energy utilization and output development suggesting that higher dependence on energy tends to reduce the economic growth in long-run in Pakistan.

Similarly, Asafu-Adjaye (2000) examined the causal connection between energy utilization, energy prices and economic development of Asian countries. The study utilized the data from the period of 1971 to 1995 for the economies of Indonesia, Thailand, India and

Philippine. Taking the aggregate energy utilization, the authors aimed to explore the association of energy utilization and prices with economic condition of the sampled countries. The findings of the empirical analysis conclude the presence of uni-directional causal connection between energy utilization and economic development of India & Indonesia. Furthermore, the direction of causality in both countries is found to be run from energy utilization to economic development. In addition, the study also evident the presence of bi-directional causal association between energy and output growth of Thailand and Philippine. Similar results are found among the association of energy prices with economic development of both countries (Jabarullah et al. 2014).

For China, Yuan, Kang, Zhao and Hu (2008) inspected the relationship between energy utilization and economic development. The study utilized the data from the period of 1963 to 2005. Utilizing the both aggregate and disaggregate energy utilization, the study aimed to investigate the relationship of energy utilization with economic condition of Chinese economy. The findings of the empirical analysis resulted from the technique of Johansen co-integration and Vector error Correction Model (VECM). The outcomes of the study suggested that all the variables are co-integrated at long run, whereas, electricity and oil utilization exert uni-directional causal connection on economic development. In addition, the findings of the empirical analysis also reported economic development to aggregate energy, coal & oil utilization, where the direction of causality runs from GDP to aggregate energy, oil and coal in China.

In a panel investigation of Asian economy, Lee and Chang (2008) examined the association between energy utilization on economic development of sixteen Asian countries. The study utilized the data from the period of 1971 to 2002. Taking the aggregate energy utilization, the study aimed to investigate the relationship of energy with economic condition of the sampled countries. The findings of panel co-integration approach concluded the presence of long-run co-integration between energy utilization and output development suggesting that higher dependence on energy tends to enhance the economic growth in long-run. The outcomes of causal effects reported the presence of uni-directional causality among energy utilization and output of the panel nations. Unlike Tang et al., (2016), the study concluded that the direction of causal effects run from aggregate energy utilization to economic development in the sampled economies. Likewise, Mehrara (2007) also

investigated the effects of energy utilization on economic development of eleven oil exporting economies. The study utilized the data from the period of 1971 to 2002. Taking the aggregate energy utilization, the study aimed to investigate the relationship of energy with economic condition of the sampled countries. In doing so, the authors applied the econometrics of panel co-integration and granger causality. The outcomes of the empirical investigation revealed the presence of uni-directional causality among energy utilization and output of the oil exporting nations. Furthermore, the study also concluded that the direction of causal effects run from GDP to aggregate energy in the sampled economies.

For China, Wang, Zhou, Zhou and Wang (2011) also performed the panel investigation for the twenty-eight provinces of the country. The objective of the investigation is to find out the connection between energy utilization, emission and economic development of the country. For that purpose, the authors used the data from 1995 to 2007 and utilized the econometrics of panel co-integration to study long-run effect and VECM for causality determination. The findings of the empirical analysis conclude the presence of positive association between energy utilization and carbon emission suggesting that higher dependence on energy tends to reduce the environmental sustainability and thus brings adverse effects to growth in long-run. The outcomes of causal effects reported the presence of bi-directional causality among energy utilization & emission and emission and output of the China. For developing countries, Lee (2005) analyzed the effects of energy utilization on economic development of eighteen emerging nations. The study utilized the data from the period of 1975 to 2001. Taking the aggregate energy utilization, the study aimed to investigate the relationship of energy with economic condition of the sampled countries. The results of the panel Cointegration approach reported that both the variables possessed the co-integration in long-run (Salem et al., 2016). The outcomes of causality reported the presence of uni-directional causality among energy utilization and economic growth of the developing economies (Salem et al. 2018). Also, the study also concluded that the direction of causal effects, similar to Lee and Chang (2008) run from aggregate energy to output growth in the sampled countries.

Likewise, Wolde-Rufael (2004) also examined the association between energy utilization and economic development of Shanghai. The study utilized the data from the period of 1952 to 1999. Utilizing the measures

of disaggregate energies, the study aimed to investigate the association of various forms of energy utilizations with economic progress of the country. The findings of the empirical analysis is carried out from the technique of Toda and Yamamoto Granger causality. The outcomes of causal connections established that the presence of negative association between energy utilization and output development suggesting that higher deuni-directional causal relationship among energy sources (Othman et al. 2017). In addition, the authors concluded that the direction of causal effects run from aggregate energy, coal, electricity & coke to economic development. The results of the study however have failed to find the causal connection of oil utilization with output growth of Shanghai. For Korean economy, Oh and Lee (2004) inspected the impact of energy utilization on economic development of South Korea. The study utilized the data from the period of 1970 to 1999. Using the measures of aggregate energy utilization, the study analyzed the relationship between energy utilization and economic condition of Korea. The findings of the empirical analysis conclude the presence of long-run Cointegration among the variables. Furthermore, the results of short-run causality stated the occurrence of uni-directional causality among energy utilization and output, where the direction of causality runs from energy to output. As for long-run causal connection, the empirical evidences reported the presence of feedback effect among the GDP and aggregate energy of the country.

In a time series investigation, Jumbe (2004) examined the relationship of energy utilization on economic development of Malawi. The study utilized the data from the period of 1970 to 1999. In order to pursue the research objective, the authors used the measure of electricity utilization to investigate its effects on total and industry sectorial GDP of the country. The findings of the empirical analysis conclude the presence of long run cointegration between energy utilization and overall output, and non-agricultural output. The outcomes of causal effects reported the presence of bi-directional causality among energy utilization and total output. Furthermore, the study also reported the existence of uni-directional causality among non-agricultural output and electricity suggesting that the direction of causal effects run from non-agricultural output to electricity utilization of the country. Likewise, recently, Tang, Tan, and Ozturk (2016) also analyzed the impact of energy usage on economic progress of Vietnam. The study utilized the data from the period of 1971 to 2011. Considering the level of aggregate energy

utilization, the authors applied the empirical techniques of co-integration and granger causality investigate the causal connection among the variables. The findings of the empirical analysis conclude the presence of positive association between energy utilization and output development suggesting that higher dependence on energy tends to enhance economic growth in long-run. Furthermore, the results of causal effects found evidence of uni-directional relationship between energy and growth of the Vietnam's economy. Moreover, the outcomes reported that the causal connection among the variables runs from energy utilization to economic development in Vietnam.

### METHODOLOGY

The current study scrutinizes the connection between sectoral energy consumption (includes industrial, transport, commercial and agriculture energy consumption) and economic growth by applying Cobb-Douglas Function and the model is as follows:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1(K) + \beta_2(L) + \beta_3(IND) + \beta_4(COM) + \beta_5(TRA) + \beta_6(AGR)\varepsilon_t$$

where,  $\varepsilon_t$  is the residual terms,  $Y$  explain the economic development which is measured by the total finished goods and services,  $K$  is the capital which is measured by gross fixed capital formation,  $L$  is represent the labor force which is measured by the total number of employed labor force,  $IND$  is the industrial energy consumption,  $COM$  is the commercial energy consumption,  $TRA$  is the transportation energy consumption and  $AGR$  is the agricultural energy consumption. All the data of sectoral energy consumption is measured in kilo tone of oil equivalent (kote). The data is collected from the period of 1978 to 2016. All data are gathered from World Development Indicators (World Bank) except sectoral data of energy consumption. The data for industrial, commercial, transportation and agricultural energy consumption of Malaysia is collected from Malaysia Energy Statistics, Handbook 2017. The current study uses all data in natural logarithmic forms as suggested by (Raza et al. 2017, Sharif and Afshan 2018, Sharif et al. 2017, 2018). The expected sign of capital and labor is positive whereas, the sign of industrial, commercial, transportation and agricultural energy consumption will be revealed by the current research.

To examine the existence of long-run or cointegrating relation between economic growth and sectoral energy (industrial, commercial, transportation and agricultural) in Malaysia, the paper employs

Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Model (Pesaran and Shin 1999, Pesaran et al. 2001) Bounds Cointegration tests. ARDL Model does not need the variables to be integrated of Order 1 i.e. I(1) or the variables to be mutually cointegrated (Pesaran and Shin 1999). The ARDL Bounds Testing Approach is more favorable to be employed for small data size (Haug 2002) and is comparatively simpler to estimate the cointegrating relationships. The ARDL framework for analyzing the cointegrating relationship among the variables under study is framed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ln(Y) = & \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_1 \Delta \ln(Y)_{t-i} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_2 \Delta \ln(K)_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_3 \Delta \ln(L)_{t-i} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_4 \Delta \ln(IND)_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_5 \Delta \ln(COM)_{t-i} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_6 \Delta \ln(TRA)_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_7 \Delta \ln(AGR)_{t-i} \\ & + \beta_1 \ln(Y)_{t-i} + \beta_2 \ln(K)_{t-i} \\ & + \beta_3 \ln(L)_{t-i} + \beta_4 \ln(IND)_{t-i} \\ & + \beta_5 \ln(COM)_{t-i} + \beta_6 \ln(TRA)_{t-i} \\ & + \beta_7 \ln(AGR)_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$\ln$  denotes the log of the variables of economic growth (Y), capital (K), labor force (L), industrial energy consumption (IND), commercial energy consumption (COM), transportation energy consumption (TRA) and agricultural energy consumption (AGR).  $\Delta$  represents the first difference operator and the intercepts are represented by  $\beta_0$ . The long-run coefficients are denoted by  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_7$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \dots, \delta_7$  represent the short-run dynamics.  $\varepsilon_t$  denotes the residuals or white noise error term.

The framed ARDL framework estimates the Equation (1) with OLS Method. The ARDL Model examines the long run relationship among the given variables by testing the null hypothesis of  $H_0 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = 0$  against the alternate hypothesis  $H_1 \neq \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \beta_3 \neq \beta_4 \neq \beta_5 \neq \beta_6 \neq \beta_7 \neq 0$  by calculating F-Test developed by Pesaran and Shin, and Smith (2001) and modified by Narayan (2005). The calculated F-Statistic is compared with upper and lower critical values given by Pesaran et al. (2001). When the calculated F-Statistic is greater than upper critical value, the null hypothesis of no cointegration is rejected and is accepted in case the F-Statistic is lower than the lower critical value at a given significance level (Hussain et al. 2018a). When the calculated F-Statistic falls within the lower and upper

critical values the inference becomes inconclusive (Kamarudin et al. 2018).

In order to identify the causal linkages between the specified variables, the present study intended to apply the Granger causality technique (Hussain et al. 2018b). The method of Granger causality entails numerous benefits in contrast to other causal estimation particularly in the time-series estimations. This endorses that the decision of applying the said analysis for identifying the causal connection between environmental degradation, economic growth and technology innovation in Indonesia are trustworthy and more dependable in comparison to the earlier studies.

### DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The primary focus of the present research is to apply the Cobb-Douglas framework in Malaysia. **Table 1** explains the outcomes of the descriptive statistics of economic growth, capital, labor and all energy proxies. The mean values for all the considered variables are positive.

Economic growth mean value of (304.061) which varies from 205.052 to 408.069. Capital has an average value of (280.238) which fluctuates from 220.451 to 420.234, labor force has a mean value of 14.475 which varies from 90.921 to 190.213, industrial energy utilization has a mean value of 7303.89 which differs from 2273 to 16454, commercial energy utilization has a mean value of 2528.99 which fluctuates from 712 to 7403, transportation energy utilization has an average value of 7493.12 which varies from 1928 to 22357 and finally, agriculture energy utilization has a mean value of 252.67 which differs from 201 to 1074. Moreover, the outcomes of the Jarque-Bera test are significant at the 1% level, which shows that economic growth, capital, labor and sectoral energy (includes industrial, commercial, transportation and agricultural energy utilization) are not normally distributed in the case of Malaysia. Also, the coefficient of correlation is also positive and strong for all the variables. The maximum correlation is found between capital and economic growth with the coefficient value of 0.925. However, the correlation between transportation energy utilization and economic growth is also positive and high with the coefficient value of 0.921. Whereas, the lowest coefficient or correlation is found in the case of industrial energy utilization and economic growth which is 0.782. The coefficient of correlation between agriculture energy utilization and economic growth is also high with the value of 0.901. Moreover, the coefficient of correlation between commercial energy

**Table 2.** Results of Unit root test

Variables	ADF Unit root test				PP unit root test			
	I(0)		I(1)		I(0)		I(1)	
	C	C&T	C	C&T	C	C&T	C	C&T
<b>Y</b>	1.821	1.791	-4.995	-5.014	1.346	1.453	-4.811	-4.901
<b>K</b>	0.324	0.361	-3.832	-3.913	0.472	0.385	-3.999	-4.012
<b>L</b>	-1.245	-1.259	-5.329	-5.130	-1.129	-1.012	-5.001	-4.827
<b>IND</b>	-1.580	-1.480	-7.324	-7.320	-1.581	-1.692	-6.892	-6.620
<b>COM</b>	0.342	0.532	-5.329	-5.019	0.561	0.472	-5.264	-5.683
<b>TRA</b>	-1.893	-1.757	-4.218	-4.684	-1.586	-1.636	-4.217	-4.385
<b>AGR</b>	1.356	1.461	-6.204	-6.758	1.294	1.301	-6.129	-6.329

Note: The critical values for ADF and PP tests with constant (c) and with constant & trend (C&T) 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance are -3.711, -2.981, -2.629 and -4.394, -3.612, -3.243 respectively.  
Source: Authors' estimation

**Table 3.** Results of Bound Testing for Cointegration

Lags Order	AIC	HQ	SBC	F-test Statistics
0	-4.347	-4.982	-4.029	56.932*
1	-5.941*	-5.229*	-5.950*	
2	-5.074	-5.001	-5.329	
3	-4.565	-4.028	-4.495	

\* 1% level of significant.  
Source: Authors' estimation.

utilization and economic growth is also positive with the value of 0.871. The p-values of the correlation coefficients are highly significant as those values are statistically significant at the 1% level.

**Table 2** show the outcome of stationary test applied in the present research. For fulfilling the persistence of ensuring the data stationarity, the present research have used the tests of Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) & Phillip Perron (PP) tests (Zainudin et al. 2017a). The tests of unit root therefore consider the outcomes initially at level of variables but later on apply the tests on their first difference (Zainudin et al. 2017b).

The results of **Table 2** confirm that economic growth, capital, labor and energy proxies (industrial, commercial, transportation and agriculture energy utilization) are stationary & integrated at their first differential series. The results of ADF and PP test confirm the robustness of results suggesting that all variables are co-integrated at I(1) and we can use these variables for further long run estimation procedures. In other words, from the outcomes of unit root test, we can apprehend that series of both the variables reflect the stationary properties and allow for proceeding towards the long run estimations (Ya'acob et al. 2018). Furthermore, in order to find the long run relationship between energy proxies and economic growth, we have applied the technique of ARDL bound testing cointegration. Therefore, the outcomes of the ARDL bound testing cointegration are displayed in **Table 3**.

The outcomes of **Table 3** check the null hypothesis claiming that not cointegration between the variables is

**Table 4.** Results of Lag Length Selection

Lag	0	1	2	Nominated Lags
	SBC	SBC	SBC	SBC
<b>K</b>	-1.943	-2.123*	-1.728	1
<b>LF</b>	-1.832	-2.483*	-2.126	1
<b>IND</b>	-2.483*	-1.373	-1.438	0
<b>COM</b>	-2.018*	-1.893	-2.002	0
<b>TRA</b>	-1.583*	-1.323	-1.200	0
<b>AGR</b>	-1.947*	-1.489	-1.743	0

\* indicate minimum SBC values.  
Source: Authors' estimation.

rejected. This is because to the value of the *F*-statistics which is higher than UBC value at 1% significance level. So, it is checked that there is a long-term relationship occur among economic growth, capital, labor, industrial energy, commercial energy, transportation energy and agriculture energy consumption in Malaysia.

The results of ARDL bound testing cointegration test, however confirm the robustness of examined outcomes. Therefore, it is confirmed that a noteworthy long-term relationship presents among economic growth, labor force, capital, industrial energy utilization, commercial energy utilization, agriculture energy utilization and transportation energy utilization in Malaysia. Furthermore, after finalizing the results of long-term relationship between the considered variables, the next procedure is to examination of ARDL method with the aim of calculating the beta value of long-short run time. In doing so, the current research calculates the lag length order of all variables through the minimum value of SBC.

The long-run findings of ARDL framework for estimation is shown in **Table 5**. The discoveries in this way build up that industrial energy, commercial energy, agriculture energy and transportation energy utilization are a valid determinant of economic growth in Malaysia. Likewise, the outcomes affirm that industrial energy, commercial energy, agriculture energy and transportation energy consumption have a constructive outcome on economic growth in Malaysia which

**Table 5.** Results using ARDL Approach (Long Run)

Variables	Coeff.	t-stats	Prob.
C	-0.341	-3.328	0.000
Y (-1)	0.089	2.983	0.000
K	0.370	4.423	0.000
K (-1)	0.002	0.879	0.391
LF	0.137	4.832	0.000
LF (-1)	-0.004	-0.936	0.350
IND	0.228	4.432	0.000
COM	0.201	4.838	0.000
AGR	0.102	3.328	0.000
TRA	0.389	2.992	0.000
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.912		
D.W stats	1.942		
F-stats (Prob.)	2945.213 (0.000)		

Source: Authors' estimation.

**Table 6.** Results using ARDL Approach (Short Run)

Variables	Coeff.	t-stats	Prob.
C	-0.246	-3.039	0.005
$\Delta Y$ (-1)	0.105	4.983	0.000
$\Delta K$	0.270	2.486	0.022
$\Delta K$ (-1)	0.002	1.148	0.264
$\Delta LF$	0.204	3.795	0.000
$\Delta LF$ (-1)	-0.117	-0.811	0.426
$\Delta IND$	0.108	2.210	0.039
$\Delta COM$	0.121	1.960	0.050
$\Delta AGR$	0.322	2.890	0.000
$\Delta TRA$	0.209	2.902	0.021
ECM (1)	-0.131	-3.354	0.003
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.89		
D.W stats	1.874		
F-stats (Prob.)	82.331 (0.000)		

Source: Authors' estimation.

implies that the nation energy utilization from all these four sectors increase the economic growth in Malaysia (Johari et al. 2018). The consequences of Cobb-Douglas function in the presence of industrial energy, commercial energy, agriculture energy and transportation energy utilization confirm the enhancement of economic growth in Malaysia. The findings confirm a valid long-run relationship so now we further move to the short-run estimations of ARDL test.

The short-run results of ARDL method show in **Table 6**. The results explained a solid short run connection between economic growth, capital, labor, industrial energy utilization, commercial energy utilization, agricultural energy utilization and transportation energy utilization in Malaysia. The coefficient of error term is explaining the value of around -0.13 suggest that around 13% of instability is adjusted in the recent year. However, the outcomes also check the significant effect of capital, labor force, industrial energy utilization, commercial energy utilization, agricultural energy utilization and

**Table 7.** Results of Granger-Causality Test

Null Hypothesis:	F-Statistic	Prob.
Y does not Granger Cause K	3.163	0.063
K does not Granger Cause Y	13.059	0.000
Y does not Granger Cause LF	12.109	0.000
K does not Granger Cause Y	5.176	0.014
Y does not Granger Cause IND	8.051	0.000
IND does not Granger Cause Y	3.252	0.058
Y does not Granger Cause COM	3.999	0.021
COM does not Granger Cause Y	4.822	0.009
Y does not Granger Cause TRA	3.532	0.328
TRA does not Granger Cause Y	4.076	0.019
Y does not Granger Cause AGR	8.932	0.000
AGR does not Granger Cause Y	3.388	0.037

Source: Author's Estimation

transportation energy utilization on economic development in Malaysia in short run as well.

The results of Granger-causality show in **Table 7**. The results explain that there a causal relationship among all the variables with economic growth. The findings confirm that capita, labor, industrial energy utilization, commercial energy utilization, transportation energy utilization and agriculture energy utilization have a bi-directional causal relationship with economic growth which means causality is running in both directions.

### CONCLUSION

The present research examines the environmental effect of energy utilization proxies (including industrial energy utilization, commercial energy utilization, transportation energy utilization and agricultural energy utilization) on economic growth in the presence of Cobb-Douglas function in Malaysia by using the annual time series data over the period from 1978 to 2016. The study uses four types of energy utilization (which are the percentage of total energy utilization in Malaysia). We applied the advance econometrics to serve the purpose of investigation and therefore used the Auto Regressive Distributed Lags bound testing approach for assessing the presence of long-run relationship between the variables. Utilizing the framework of Cobb-Douglas, the results of ARDL bound testing approach ascertain the valid long run relationship between economic growth, capital, labor, industrial energy utilization, commercial energy utilization, agricultural energy utilization and transportation energy utilization in Malaysia. The final outcomes confirm that all energy utilizations, capital and labor force have a positive and significant impact on economic growth. Furthermore, results of Granger-causality also confirm the existence of bi-directional causal relationship between all sectoral energy utilizations and economic growth in Malaysia.



## REFERENCES

- Abidin ISZ, Bakar NA, Haseeb M (2015a) Exploring trade relationship between Malaysia and the OIC member countries: A panel cointegration approach (1995-2012). *Asian Journal of Scientific Research*, 8(1): 107.
- Abidin ISZ, Haseeb M, Azam M, Islam R (2015b) Foreign direct investment, financial Development, international trade and energy consumption: Panel data evidence from selected ASEAN Countries. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 5(3): 841-850.
- Adepoju TF, Eyibio UP (2016) Optimization investigation of biogas potential of *Tithonia diversifolia* as an alternative energy source. *International Journal of Chemical and Process Engineering Research*, 3(3): 46-55.
- Apergis N, Payne JE (2009) Energy consumption and economic growth in Central America: evidence from a panel cointegration and error correction model. *Energy Economics*, 31(2): 211-216.
- Apergis N, Payne JE (2010) Renewable energy consumption and economic growth: evidence from a panel of OECD countries. *Energy policy*, 38(1): 656-660.
- Aqeel A, Butt MS (2001) The relationship between energy consumption and economic growth in Pakistan. *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*, 8(2): 101-110.
- Asafu-Adjaye J (2000) The relationship between energy consumption, energy prices and economic growth: time series evidence from Asian developing countries. *Energy economics*, 22(6): 615-625.
- Asyraf WM (2014) Improving Energy Conservation using six sigma methodology at faculty of computer and mathematical sciences (FSKM), universititeknologimara (UiTM), SHAH ALAM. *Asian Journal of Economic Modelling*, 2(1): 52-68.
- Azam M, Haseeb M, binti Samsi A, Raji JO (2016b) Stock market development and economic growth: Evidences from Asia-4 Countries. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(3): 1200-1208.
- Azam M, Haseeb M, Samsudin S (2016a) The impact of foreign remittances on poverty alleviation: Global evidence. *Economics & Sociology*, 9(1): 264.
- Beaudreau BC (1995) The impact of electric power on productivity: the case of U.S. manufacturing 1958–1984. *Energy Economics* 17: 231–236.
- Bilir, B., Akkoyun, D. C., Aydin, M., Ozkaramanli-Gur, D., Kara, S. P., Degirmenci, H. ... Erel, O (2018) Erratum for: Association of coronary artery disease severity and disulphide/native thiol ratio. *Electronic Journal of General Medicine*, 15(2), em08. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejgm/85155>
- Boyi I, Chidozie C, Okonkwo I (2017) Nutrient Compositions of Different Grains for Use in the Formulation of Bacteriological Media. *The International Journal of Biotechnology*, 6(1): 1-10.
- Chen S-T, et al. (2007) The relationship between GDP and electricity consumption in 10 Asian countries. *Energy Policy* 35: 2611–2621.
- Chontanawat J, Hunt LC, Pierse R (2007) Causality between energy consumption and GDP: evidence from 30 OECD and 78 Non-OECD countries. *Energy economics discussion paper*, University of Surrey. Retrieved from <http://www.seec.surrey.ac.uk/Research/SEEDS/SEEDS113R.pdf>
- Danbaba G, Nabegu AB, Binta A, Mustapha A (2016) Assessment of Implementation of the Environmental Sanitation Policy in the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Social Sciences Studies*, 2(1): 1-13.
- Dölek Ü, Günes M (2016) Fruit Growth Characteristics of Rose Hips (*Rosa* sp). *Agriculture and Food Sciences Research*, 3(2): 75-79.
- Edwin OK, Alemaw BF, Laletsang K, Tafesse NT (2017) Estimating Hydraulic Properties of Alluvial Sand Aquifer in Motloutse River course, Eastern Botswana. *Asian Review of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 4(1): 28-35.
- Ekong CN, Akpan U (2014) On Energy Subsidy Reform and Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 3(4): 186-202.
- Ekpong EG (2014) Public infrastructure spending and economic growth in Nigeria: An Error Correction Mechanism (ECM) approach. *Journal of Social Economics Research*, 1(7): 129-140.
- Gideon Z (2014) Institutionalising and Mainstreaming Policy Analysis Culture in African Environments. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research*, 1(1): 12-25.
- Glasure YU (2002) Energy and national income in Korea: further evidence on the role of omitted variables. *Energy Economics* 24: 355–365.

- Haseeb M, Hassan S, Azam M, Suryanto T (2018) The dynamics of governance, tourism and environmental degradation: the world evidence. *International Journal of Global Environmental Issues*, 17(4): 340-363.
- Henry U (2014) Globalization and Environmental Issues: A New Framework for Security Analysis. *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters*, 2(4): 209-216.
- Hussain HI, Sallehuddin S, Shamsudin MF, Jabarullah NH (2018b) Debt Maturity Structure and Shari'ah Compliance: Evidence from Malaysia, *European Research Studies Journal*, 21(1): 179-189.
- Hussain HI, Shamsudin MF, Anwar NAM, Salem MA, Jabarullah NH (2018a) The Impact of Shari'ah Compliance on the Adjustment to Target Debt Maturity of Malaysian Firms, *European Research Studies Journal*, 21(2): 48-61.
- Jabarullah NH, Mauldin C, Navarro LA, Golden J, Madianos L, Kemp NT (2017) Modelling and Simulation Analysis for the Prediction of the Performance of Intrinsic Conducting Polymer Current Limiting Device. *Advanced Science Letters*, 23(6): 5117-5120.
- Jabarullah NH, Othman R (2018) Steam reforming of shale gas over Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported Ni-Cu nano-catalysts, *Petroleum Science and Technology*. doi: 10.1080/10916466.2018.1547759
- Jabarullah NH, Verrelli E, Gee A, Mauldin C, Navarro LA, Golden JH, Kemp NT (2016) Large dopant dependence of the current limiting properties of intrinsic conducting polymer surge protection devices. *RSC Advances*, 6(89): 85710-85717.
- Jabarullah NH, Verrelli E, Mauldin C, Navarro LA, Golden J, Madianos L, ... Kemp NT (2014) Novel conducting polymer current limiting devices for low cost surge protection applications. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 116(16): 164501.
- Jabarullah NH, Verrelli E, Mauldin C, Navarro LA, Golden JH, Madianos LM, Kemp NT (2015) Superhydrophobic SAM Modified Electrodes for Enhanced Current Limiting Properties in Intrinsic Conducting Polymer Surge Protection Devices. *Langmuir*, 31(22): 6253-6264.
- Jaganath B (2015) Examined the Energy-Led Growth Hypothesis in India: Evidence from Time Series Analysis. *Energy Economics Letters*, 2(4): 46-56.
- Johari M, Jalil M, Mohd Shariff M (2018) Comparison of horizontal axis wind turbine (HAWT) and vertical axis wind turbine (VAWT). *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(4.13): 74-80.
- Jumbe CB (2004) Cointegration and causality between electricity consumption and GDP: empirical evidence from Malawi. *Energy economics*, 26(1): 61-68.
- Kamarudin F, Sufian F, Nassir AM, Anwar NAM, Ramli NA, Tan KM, Hussain HI (2018) Price efficiency on Islamic banks vs. conventional banks in Bahrain, UAE, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar and Saudi Arabia: impact of country governance. *International Journal of Monetary Economics and Finance*, 11(4): 363-383.
- Kobayashi T, Kanematsu H, Hashimoto R, Morisato K, Ohashi N, Yamasaki H, Takamiya S (2013) Study on Environment and Energy Using Belonging Materials. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Policy*, 2(4): 50-58.
- Lee C-C (2006) The causality relationship between energy consumption and GDP in G-11 countries Revisited. *Energy Policy* 34: 1086-1093.
- Lee C-C, Chang C-P (2007) Energy consumption and economic growth in Asian economies: a more comprehensive analysis using panel data. *Resource and Energy Economics*. doi: 10.1016/j.reseneeco.2007.03.003
- Lee CC, Chang CP (2008) Energy consumption and economic growth in Asian economies: a more comprehensive analysis using panel data. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 30(1): 50-65.
- Lise W, Van Montfort K (2007) Energy consumption and GDP in Turkey: is there a co-integration relationship? *Energy Economics* 29: 1166-1178.
- Mathumathi KM, Senthil Prakash K (2017) Energy Sentient QoS Implemented Node-Disjoint Multipath Routing Protocol for Manet. *International Journal of Communication and Computer Technologies*, 5: 67-75.
- Mehrara M (2007) Energy consumption and economic growth: the case of oil exporting countries. *Energy policy*, 35(5): 2939-2945.
- Mukadasi B (2018) Mixed Cropping Systems for Sustainable Domestic Food Supply of the Smallholder Farming Communities in Nakasongola District, Central Uganda. *Canadian Journal of Agriculture and Crops*, 3(1): 42-54.

- Narayan PK, Singh B (2007) The electricity consumption and GDP nexus for the Fiji islands. *Energy Economics*, 29: 1141–1150.
- Oh W, Lee K (2004) Causal relationship between energy consumption and GDP revisited: the case of Korea 1970–1999. *Energy Economics*, 26(1): 51–59.
- Othman R, Hossain MS, Jabarullah NH (2017) Synthesis and characterization of iron-and nitrogen-functionalized graphene catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction. *Applied Organometallic Chemistry*, 31(10): e3738. Doi: 10.1002/aoc.3738
- Oyaromade R, Mathew A, Abalaba BP (2014) Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Nigeria: A Causality Analysis. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environmental Research*, 3(1): 53–61.
- Ozturk F, Ozturk S (2018) Exploring the Nexus of Coal Consumption, Economic Growth, Energy Prices and Technological Innovation in Turkey. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 8(12): 1406–1414.
- Pesaran MH, Pesaran B (1997) *Working with Microfit 4.0: interactive econometric analysis*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Pesaran MH, Shin Y (1998) Generalized impulse response analysis in linear multivariate models. *Economic Letters* 58(1): 17–29.
- Pesaran MH, Shin Y (1999) An autoregressive distributed lag modeling approach to co-integration analysis. In Strom S (ed) *Econometrics and economic theory in the 20th century: the Ragnar Frisch centennial symposium*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Pesaran MH, Shin Y, Smith RJ (2000) Structural analysis of vector error correction models with exogenous I(1) variables. *Journal of Econometrics* 97: 293–343
- Pesaran MH, Shin Y, Smith RJ (2001) Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 16: 289–326.
- Raza SA, Sharif A, Wong WK, Karim MZA (2017) Tourism development and environmental degradation in the United States: Evidence from wavelet-based analysis. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 20(16): 1768–1790.
- Salem M, Shawtari F, Shamsudin M, Hussain HI (2018) The Consequences of Integrating Stakeholders' Engagement on Sustainable Development (Environmental Perspectives), *Sustainable Development*, 26(3): 255–268.
- Salem MA, Shawtari FA, Shamsudin MF, Hussain HI (2016) The relation between stakeholders' integration and environmental competitiveness. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 12(4): 755–769.
- Sharif A, Afshan S (2018) Does Military Spending Impede Income Inequality? A Comparative Study of Pakistan and India. *Global Business Review*, 19(2): 257–279.
- Sharif A, Afshan S, Khan BS (2018) Does democracy embolden economic growth in Pakistan? Evidence from ARDL bound testing and rolling window analysis. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*, 15(2): 180–203.
- Sharif A, Afshan S, Nisha N (2017) Impact of tourism on CO2 emission: evidence from Pakistan. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 22(4): 408–421.
- Singh AK, Issac J (2018) Impact of climatic and non-climatic factors on sustainable livelihood security in Gujarat state of India: A statistical exploration. *Agriculture and Food Sciences Research*, 5(1): 30–46.
- Soytas U, Sari R (2007) The relationship between energy and production: evidence from Turkish manufacturing industry. *Energy Economics* 29: 1151–1165.
- Stern DI (1997) Limits to substitution and irreversibility in production and consumption: a neoclassical interpretation of ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 21: 197–215.
- Stern DI, Cleveland CJ (2003) *Energy and economic growth*. Rensselaer Working Papers in Economics 0410.
- Suryanto T, Haseeb M, Hartani NH (2018) The Correlates of Developing Green Supply Chain Management Practices: Firms Level Analysis in Malaysia. *Int. J Sup. Chain. Mgt Vol*, 7(5): 316.
- Tang CF, Tan BW, Ozturk I (2016) Energy consumption and economic growth in Vietnam. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 54: 1506–1514.
- Tsang HF, Yung K (2017) Management of Food Shelf Life and Energy Efficiency with Adaptive Food Preservation System (AFPS) Appliance. *Journal of Food Technology Research*, 4(1): 16–31.
- Tshepo K, Tafesse NT, Chaoka RT, Alemaw BF, Laletsang K (2017) Impacts of Treated Wastewater on the Surface Water and Groundwater Quality: A Case Study in North East Gaborone, Botswana. *Asian Review of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 4(1): 36–45.

- Vafaeirad M, Mohammadiha M, Goodarzy Y (2015) Energy Consumption and GDP in Selected Asian Countries: A Cointegrated Panel Analysis. *Journal of Asian Scientific Research*, 5(4): 177-184.
- Wang SS, Zhou DQ, Zhou P, Wang QW (2011) CO2 emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in China: A panel data analysis. *Energy Policy*, 39(9): 4870-4875.
- Wolde-Rufael Y (2004) Disaggregated industrial energy consumption and GDP: the case of Shanghai, 1952–1999. *Energy economics*, 26(1): 69-75.
- Ya'acob A, Mohd Razali M, Anwar U, Mohd Radhi M, Ishak M, Minhat M, . . . The C (2018) Investigation of closed compartment moulding for pull-winding process. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7 (4.13): 107-111.
- Yuan JH, Kang JG, Zhao CH, Hu ZG (2008) Energy consumption and economic growth: evidence from China at both aggregated and disaggregated levels. *Energy Economics*, 30(6): 3077-3094.
- Zachariadis T (2007) Exploring the relationship between energy use and economic growth with bivariate models: new evidence from G-7 countries. *Energy Economics* 29: 1233–1253.
- Zainudin Z, Ibrahim I, Hussain HI, Hadi ARA (2017a) Debt and Financial Performance of REITs in Malaysia: An Optimal Debt Threshold Analysis, *JurnalEkonomi Malaysia (Malaysian Journal of Economics)*, 51(2): 63–74.
- Zainudin Z, Ibrahim I, Said RM, Hussain HI (2017b) Debt and Financial Performance of REITs in Malaysia: A Moderating Effect of Financial Flexibility, *JurnalPengurusan (UKM Journal of Management)*, 50: 3–12.
- Zhang XP, Cheng XM (2009) Energy consumption, carbon emissions, and economic growth in China. *Ecological Economics*, 68(10): 2706-2712.